Planning Services

COMMITTEE REPORT

AGENDA ITEM NUMBER:

APPLICATION DETAILS

APPLICATION NO:	
FULL APPLICATION DESCRIPTION	

NAME OF APPLICANT SITE ADDRESS

ELECTORAL DIVISION CASE OFFICER

4/11/00599/OUT

Outline application proposing residential development of up to 70 dwellinghouses seeking detailed approval of means of access only Harris Developments Land at Langley Hall Farm, Brandon Lane, Durham, DH7 8LQL Brandon Barry Gavillet 0191 5270501 planningeasington@durham.gov.uk

1.0 DESCRIPTION OF THE SITE AND PROPOSALS

- 1.1.1 The site
- 1.1.2 This application site is located to the north of Langley Moor and is adjacent to the settlement boundary which runs along Brandon Lane; as such the site is classed as being in the countryside, albeit abutting the settlement. The site is approximately 1.9 hectares and is roughly triangular in shape, it is bounded by the Brandon to Bishop Auckland right of way and woodland to the north and west, Brandon Lane to the south and a mix of open space, residential properties and workshops to the east. The site is previously undeveloped, agricultural land.
- 1.2.1 The proposal
- 1.2.2 This application seeks outline planning permission for up to 70 dwellinghouses seeking detailed approval for means of access only, all other matters including appearance, landscaping, layout and scale would be subject to a further application for reserved matters should this application be approved. The main vehicular access point would be taken off Brandon Lane at the south west corner of the site. The applicant's have submitted indicative plans which show a mixture of house types and garages along with private front and rear gardens. Pedestrian links would be created to the Brandon to Bishop Auckland footpath which bounds the north west of the site. The proposal would have a housing density of approximately 37 dwellings per hectare.
- 1.2.3 The application is being reported to committee as it is a major housing development.

2.0 PLANNING HISTORY

3.0 PLANNING POLICY

3.1 NATIONAL POLICY:

- 3.1.1 National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) In July 2011 The Government published the NPPF in its draft form. The draft framework is based on the policy of sustainable development and establishes a presumption in favour of sustainable development. The presumption means that where local plans are not up-to-date, or not a clear basis for decisions, development should be allowed. However, the development should not be allowed if it would undermine the key principles for sustainability in the Framework. Being in draft format and a consultation document it is subject to potential amendment. It can be considered a material consideration, although the weight to be attributed to it will be a matter for the decision maker in each particular case. The current Planning Policy Statements, Guidance notes and Circulars remain in place until cancelled.
- 3.1.2 *Planning Policy Statement 1: Delivering Sustainable Development* sets out the Governments overarching planning policies on the delivery of sustainable development through the planning System.
- 3.1.3 *Planning Policy Statement 3: Housing* PPS3 underpins the delivery of the Government's strategic housing policy objectives and our goal to ensure that everyone has the opportunity to live in a decent home, which they can afford in a community where they want to live.
- 3.1.4 *Planning Policy Statement 7: Sustainable Development in Rural Areas* sets out the Government's planning policies for rural areas, which local authorities should have regard to when preparing local development documents, and when taking planning decisions.
- 3.1.5 *Planning Policy Guidance 13: Transport* objectives are to integrate planning and transport at the national, regional, strategic and local level and to promote more sustainable transport choices both for carrying people and for moving freight.
- 3.1.6 *Planning Policy Statement 9: Biodiversity and Geological Conservation -* sets out planning policies on protection of biodiversity and geological conservation through the planning system. These policies complement, but do not replace or override, other national planning policies and should be read in conjunction with other relevant statements of national planning policy
- 3.1.7 *Planning Policy Guidance 16: Archaeology and Planning* sets out the government's policy on archaeological remains on land and how they should be preserved or recorded both in an urban setting and in the countryside. It gives advice on the handling of archaeological remains and discoveries through the development plan and development control systems, including the weight to be given to them in planning decisions and planning conditions.

- 3.1.8 *Planning Policy Statement 22: Renewable Energy* sets out the Government's planning policies for renewable energy, which planning authorities should have regard to when preparing local development documents and when taking planning decisions.
- 3.1.9 *Planning Policy Statement 23: Planning and Pollution Control* The policies in this statement and the advice in the accompanying Annexes (Annex 1: Pollution Control, Air and Water Quality and Annex 2: Development on Land Affected by Contamination) should be taken into account by Regional Planning Bodies (RPBs) and Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) in preparing Regional Spatial Strategies (RSSs) and Local Development Documents (LDDs) referred to in this Statement as "development plans".
- 3.1.10 *Planning Policy Statement 25: Development and Flood Risk* explains how flood risk should be considered at all stages of the planning and development process. It sets out the importance of the management and reduction of flood risk in planning, acting on a precautionary basis and taking account of climate change.

The above represents a summary of those policies considered most relevant. The full text can be accessed at: <a href="http://www.communities.gov.uk/planning

3.2 REGIONAL POLICY:

- 3.2.1 The North East of England Plan Regional Spatial Strategy to 2021 (RSS) was published in mid-July 2008 in its finalised format and forms part of the Development Plan. The RSS has a vision to ensure that the North East will be a Region where present and future generations have a high quality of life. Central to the RSS is a key principle of delivering sustainable communities. Of particular relevance are the following policies;
- 3.2.2 However, The Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government's letter dated 27th May 2010 announced the Government's intention to abolish Regional Strategies and return decision making powers on housing and planning to local councils. This intended future abolition must also be given material weight in planning decision making.
- 3.2.3 *Policy 1 (Strategies, plans and programmes)* should support a renaissance throughout the North East
- 3.2.4 *Policy 2 (Sustainable Development)* Seeks to embed sustainable criteria through out the development process and influence the way in which people take about where to live and work; how to travel; how to dispose of waste; and how to use energy and other natural resources efficiently.
- 3.2.5 *Policy 4 (The Sequential Approach to Development)* National advice and the first RSS for the North East advocated a sequential approach to the identification of sites for development, recognising the need to make the best use of land and optimize the development of previously developed land and buildings in sustainable locations.
- 3.2.6 *Policy* 6 (*Plans, strategies and programmes*) should support and incorporate the locational strategy to maximise the major assets and opportunities available in the North East and to regenerate those areas affected by social, economic and environmental problems.

- 3.2.7 *Policy* 7 (*Connectivity and Accesibility*) Seeks to promote the need to reduce the impact of travel demand particularly by promoting public transport, travel plans, cycling and walking, as well as the need to reduce long distance travel, particularly by private car, by focusing development in urban areas with good access to public transport.
- 3.2.8 *Policy 8 (Protecting and Enhancing the Environment)* Seeks to promote measures such as high quality design in all development and redevelopment and promoting development that is sympathetic to its surroundings.
- 3.2.9 *Policy 24 (Delivering Sustainable Communities)* establishes that all development should be designed and located to deliver sustainable communities. Development should be assessed against a wide range of criteria with sustainability in mind including the locational requirements of the development, the need to concentrate development in urban areas, links with infrastructure and impacts of a development upon the social cohesion of local communities.
- 3.2.10 *Policy 28 (Gross and Net Dwelling Provision)* Advises that Local Development Frameworks should make provision for the following average annual level of total dwelling construction in the period 2004-2021.
- 3.2.11 *Policy 29 (Delivering and Managing Housing Supply)* Advises that Local Development Frameworks and/or planning proposals shall deliver and manage housing supply
- 3.2.12 *Policy 30 (Improving Inclusivity and Affordability)* Advises that in preparation for future reviews of housing within RSS, Strategic Housing Market Assessments will inform a review of the regional approach to addressing affordable housing needs, including an affordable housing target for the Region and each housing market area.
- 3.2.13 *Policy 32 (Historic Environment)* requires planning proposals to conserve and enhance the historic environment.
- 3.2.14 *Policy 33 (Biodiversity and Geodiversity)* Requires planning proposals to ensure that the Region's ecological and geological resources are protected and enhanced to return key biodiversity resources to viable levels.
- 3.2.15 *Policy 35 (Flood Risk)* promotes a proactive approach to reducing flood risk and advises that risk should be managed with regards to tidal effects, fluvial flooding and flooding from surface water runoff. The requirements of PPS25 with regards to the sequential approach and submission of flood risk assessments.
- 3.2.16 *Policy 38 (Sustainable Construction)* seeks to promote development which minimises energy consumption and promotes energy efficiency. On major development proposals 10% of their energy supply should come from decentralised and renewable or low-carbon sources.

3.3 LOCAL PLAN POLICY:

3.3.1 *Policy E7 (Development in the Countryside)* - advises that new development outside existing settlement boundaries will not normally be allowed. However, there are a number of exceptional circumstances where development outside existing settlement boundaries may be considered acceptable such as agricultural workers dwellings.

- 3.3.2 Policy E16 (Protection and Promotion of Nature Conservation) is aimed at protecting and enhancing the nature conservation assets of the district. Development proposals outside specifically protected sites will be required to identify any significant nature conservation interests that may exist on or adjacent to the site by submitting surveys of wildlife habitats, protected species and features of ecological, geological and geomorphological interest. Unacceptable harm to nature conservation interests will be avoided, and mitigation measures to minimise adverse impacts upon nature conservation interests should be identified.
- 3.3.3 *Policy E24 (Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Remains)* sets out that the Council will preserve scheduled ancient monuments and other nationally significant archaeological remains and their setting in situ. Development likely to damage these monuments will not be permitted. Archaeological remains of regional and local importance, which may be adversely affected by development proposals, will be protected by seeking preservation in situ.
- 3.3.4 *Policy H3 (New Housing Development within the Villages)* allows for windfall development of previously developed sites within the settlement boundaries of a number of specified former coalfield villages across the District, provided that the scheme is appropriate in scale, design location and number of units.
- 3.3.5 *Policy H5 (New Housing the Countryside)* sets out criteria outlining the limited circumstances in which new housing in the countryside will be permitted, this being where it is required for occupation by persons employed solely or mainly in agriculture or forestry.
- 3.3.6 *Policy H12 (Affordable Housing)* seeks the provision of an element of affordable housing on schemes where over 25 units are provided or where the site area would exceed 1.0ha. The associated Supplementary Planning Document approved (December 2006) advises that 30% of all dwellings on a site providing over 25 dwellings should be provided as affordable units in perpetuity. Affordable Housing is defined in PPS3 as being housing which includes social rented and intermediate housing, nominated to specified eligible households whose needs are not met by the market. Affordable housing should meet the needs of eligible households including availability at low cost and should include provision for the homes to remain affordable in perpetuity.
- 3.3.7 Policy H13 (Residential Areas Impact upon Character and Amenity) states that planning permission will not be granted for new development or changes of use which have a significant adverse effect on the character or appearance of residential areas, or the amenities of residents within them.
- 3.3.8 Policy R2 (Provision of Open Space New Residential Development) states that in new residential development of 10 or more units, open space will be required to be provided within or adjacent to the development in accordance with the Council's standards. Where there is an identified deficiency and it is considered appropriate, the Council will seek to enter into a planning agreement with developers to facilitate the provision of new or improved equipped play areas and recreational/leisure facilities to serve the development in accordance with Policy Q8.
- 3.3.9 *Policy T1 (Traffic General)* states that the Council will not grant planning permission for development that would generate traffic likely to be detrimental to

highway safety and / or have a significant effect on the amenity of occupiers of neighbouring property.

- 3.3.10 *Policy T10 (Parking General Provision)* states that vehicle parking should be limited in amount, so as to promote sustainable transport choices and reduce the land-take of development.
- 3.3.11 Policies Q1 and Q2 (General Principles Designing for People and Accessibility) states that the layout and design of all new development should take into account the requirements of all users.
- 3.3.12 *Policy Q4 (Pedestrian Areas)* requires public spaces and such areas to be well designed and constructed with quality materials. Public realm and lighting to ensure community safety are referred to.
- 3.3.13 *Policy Q5 (Landscaping General Provision)* sets out that any development which has an impact on the visual amenity of an area will be required to incorporate a high standard of landscaping.
- 3.3.14 Policy Q8 (Layout and Design Residential Development) sets out the Council's standards for the layout of new residential development. Amongst other things, new dwellings must be appropriate in scale, form, density and materials to the character of their surroundings. The impact on the occupants of existing nearby properties should be minimised.
- 3.3.15 *Policy U8a (Disposal of Foul and Surface Water)* requires developments to provide satisfactory arrangements for disposing foul and surface water discharges. Where satisfactory arrangements are not available, then proposals may be approved subject to the submission of a satisfactory scheme and its implementation before the development is brought into use.
- 3.3.16 *Policy U11 (Development on Contaminated Land)* sets out the criteria against which schemes for the redevelopment of sites which are known or suspected to be contaminated. Before development takes place it is important that the nature and extent of contamination should be fully understood.

The above represents a summary of those policies considered most relevant in the Development Plan the full text, criteria, and justifications of each may be accessed at http://www.durham.gov.uk/Pages/Service.aspx?ServiceId=7534

4.0 CONSULTATION AND PUBLICITY RESPONSES

- 4.1 STATUTORY RESPONSES:
- 4.1.1 *The Coal Authority* no objections
- 4.1.2 Natural England no objections
- 4.1.3 Environment Agency no response
- 4.1.4 *Highways Officer* no objections subject to conditions relating to junction radii and footway details
- 4.2 INTERNAL CONSULTEE RESPONSES:

- 4.2.1 *Archaeology Officer* no objections subject to a programme of archaeological works conditioned;
- 4.2.2 *Ecology Officer* no objections subject to mitigation being conditioned;
- 4.2.3 Environmental Health no objections;
- 4.2.4 Landscape Officer no objections subject to a landscaping scheme.

4.3 PUBLIC RESPONSES:

- 4.3.1 The application has been advertised by way of a press notice, site notice and individual letters to surrounding residents.
- 4.3.2 Seventeen letters were received to the consultation of which ten were objections, six comments/observations and one letter of support. The main reasons for objection are as follows:
 - The site is in the countryside and the proposal would create urban sprawl
 - The proposal would create traffic congestion and would lead to traffic accidents
 - Schools and doctors do not have enough places
 - There is enough affordable housing in the area
 - The field is beautiful and is an important aspect in the village
- 4.3.3 The City of Durham Trust has commented that the site is outside the settlement boundary but not in Green Belt or in an Area of High Landscape Value. They considered that there may be merit to the scheme.

4.4 APPLICANTS STATEMENT:

- 4.4.1 Our analysis demonstrates that the development would make an important contribution to meeting local housing needs, and in addition it would generate significant local economic and environment benefits including:
 - Employment impacts during construction would be equivalent to 49 years of construction industry employment, together with 58 years of FTE indirect/induced employment as a result of construction related expenditure
 - Attraction of economically active households to the area
 - Total gross expenditu're potential of £1.04m per annum gross
 - New Homes Bonus payment to Durham County Council over the 6 year period of approximately £537,500
 - An increase in Council Tax revenues of more than £89,500
 - Local environmental improvements
- 4.2.2 The development will also provide financial contributions towards improvements to play and amenity space and provision of public art, streetscape and other community benefits in Langley Moor
- 4.4.3 The design of new development should evolve from the special local character. By Design talks about the need to create a place with its own character. These

principles are embedded within our outline proposals creating a varied scale and building character, existing in 'harmony' with the village, and defining a distinct sense of place.

4.4.4 Our proposals have been developed to ensure that with regard to the site's natural context, it could be a place that meets the needs of existing and future residents. While only 'outline' this statement provides assurance that the expected quality is deliverable.

The above represents a summary of the comments received on this application. The full written text is available for inspectionontheapplicationfilewhichcanbeviewedathttp://publicaccess.durhamcity.gov.uk/publicaccess/tdc/DcApplication/applicationdetailview.aspx?caseno=LP3NPWBN02000.Officer analysis of the issues raised and discussion as to their relevance to the proposal and recommendation made iscontained below

5.0 PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS AND ASSESSMENT

- 5.1.1 As this application is outline with access being the only detailed matter seeking approval, the main planning considerations are the principle of the development and highways issues.
- 5.2 Principle of development
- 5.3 Compliance with the City of Durham Local Plan
- 5.3.1 This site is unallocated greenfield land that is located just outside of the existing settlement boundary for Langley Moor. Policy H3 permits housing development on previously-developed sites within the settlement boundary provided that there is no conflict with environmental, open space or design objectives. As the application sites falls outside of the designated boundary, this proposal would not be assessed against Policy H3.
- 5.3.2 Sites located outside of settlement boundaries are to be treated against 'countryside' policies and objectives (Policy H5), and there is a general presumption against allowing housing development beyond a settlement boundary unless it is required to fulfil an employment role. In view of this, it is considered that this proposal is in conflict with the City of Durham Local Plan element of the development plan and the applicant in their submission accepts this position.
- 5.3.3 The site is however located on the edge of the settlement boundary close to community facilities, shops, schools and public transport links and is therefore considered to be in a sustainable location for residential development.
- 5.3.4 The applicant seeks to argue that the Local Plan is "demonstrably out-of-date in relation to housing" on account that it was only intended to provide guidance up to 2006. Whilst it is acknowledged that the Local Plan was adopted in 2004 and is now 7 years old, it considered that this does not necessarily make it out of date. The key test is whether the strategy/aims of the Local Plan are out of kilter or in conflict with other elements of the development plan including the Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) and national advice on housing contained within Planning Policy Statement 3 Housing (PPS3). In relation to these two aspects, it is considered that the Local Plan strategy is not patently inconsistent with either the RSS or PPS3, and consequently considerable weight can be attributed to the Local Plan and its policies.

- 5.3.5 In addition to the above the emerging policy in the governments draft NPPF should be considered. This policy advises that there should be a presumption in favour of sustainable development. The presumption makes clear that where plans are not in place or up-to-date, development should be allowed unless this would compromise the key principles for sustainability in the Framework. However, the emerging policy in the draft NPPF has limited weight at this time.
- 5.3.6 The applicant seeks to attach considerable weight to the emerging County Durham Plan and the indicative housing distribution for Langley Moor, Brandon and Meadowfield of 550 dwellings. Weight can be attached to this housing figure at this stage. But it must be recognised that these figures could be subject to change. In addition, the final figures will also be required to undergo an examination in public, as will any forthcoming housing allocations. Notwithstanding this indicative housing allocation, it is not considered that the development of up to 70 dwellings on the application site would prejudice the long term aims of the emerging County Durham Plan considering the proposed large 550 unit allocation for this area.
- 5.4 Compliance with the Regional Spatial Strategy
- 5.4.1 The RSS sets out the broad development strategy to 2021 and beyond. It identifies broad strategic locations for new housing developments so that the need and demand for housing can be addressed in a way that reflects sustainable development principles.
- 5.4.2 The locational strategy for the NE region, enshrined in Policy 6 of RSS, aims to support the development and redevelopment of the two city regions (Tyne & Wear and Tees Valley). This will be achieved by concentrating the majority of new development and house building in the conurbations, main settlements and regeneration towns, whilst allowing development appropriate in scale within secondary settlements. The locational strategy acknowledges the need to ensure the success of the region's housing market restructuring initiatives, the reuse of previously developed land and a reduction in the need to travel to access work, services, and facilities.
- 5.4.3 The RSS recognises that in County Durham, the towns in the regeneration areas continue to be the main focus for development and recognises the importance of ensuring that the function and vitality of these places is protected and enhanced.
- 5.4.4 As part of the on-going production of the 'The County Durham Plan', a 'Settlement Study' has been carried out. This study looks at the amenities possessed by the settlements across County Durham, including public transport, public and private services, and access to jobs. The findings indicate that Langley Moor is a secondary settlement. The conclusion which can be drawn from this is that the village is generally well served by services and facilities, greatly contributing to its sustainability.
- 5.4.5 In identifying land for development, Local Planning Authorities should adopt a sequential approach to the identification of land for development. This approach is enshrined in Policy 4 of the RSS. Together with policies 6, 10 and 29 the focus should be on increasing housing development within urban areas and the priority should be suitable previously-developed sites and buildings in urban areas ahead of greenfield sites.

- 5.4.6 Whilst this policy is primarily aimed at plan-making, it is considered that the principles can equally be applied to planning proposals, particularly in instances when developers are submitting applications before there is an opportunity to consider different sites on a level playing field though the development of the plan.
- 5.4.7 The applicant's "Planning, Economic and Affordable Housing Statement" examines alternative Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) sites within Langley Moor, Brandon and Meadowfield. The conclusion which the applicant draws is that the Langley Hall Farm site is the most suitable and sequentially preferable site in this area. The nearby Brandon Football Club site is also sustainable and could come forward.
- 5.4.8 Overall, with respect to the Local Plan and the RSS, it is considered that there is conflict with the City of Durham Local Plan and RSS on account that housing development is proposed beyond the settlement boundary in the countryside. The development also raises conflict with the RSS on account that the site does not utilise previously developed land. However, as mentioned previously it is considered that the site is in a sustainable location for residential development and that Local Plan and RSS policies must be weighed against the aims of the draft NPPF.
- 5.5 Compliance with Planning Policy Statement 3 Housing (PPS3)
- 5.5.1 PPS3 clarifies that in support of its objective of creating mixed and sustainable communities, the Government's policy is to ensure that housing is developed in suitable locations which offer a range of community facilities and with good access to jobs, key services and infrastructure.
- 5.5.2 Housing Policy 28 of RSS for the North East seeks an annual average provision of 1,615 dwellings in County Durham up to 2021, with the adequacy of this level of provision being reviewed by future monitoring and revisions of the RSS. In due course, the County Durham Plan will set its own housing targets for the period up to 2030, however, until the figures contained within the "Policy Directions" document are firmed up and tested through examination, the RSS remains relevant to development proposals until such time as it is revoked under the Localism Bill.
- 5.5.3 The RSS requires the (former) Durham City district to provide 3,800 net new dwellings from 2004–2021 at an average of 225 units per annum (250 for the period 2004-11, 220 for 2011-16 and 190 for 2016-21). This figure should be treated as a floor target, so represents the minimum number of dwellings which must be provided.
- 5.5.4 PPS3 makes clear that Local Planning Authorities are to maintain an up to date 5year supply of housing sites. On this final issue, it is considered that there is a 5year supply of housing within the (former) Durham City area. As such, there is no overriding requirement to release this land for housing imminently unless there are significant benefits to the scheme. PPS3 also advises that releasing sites prematurely should not be a reason to refuse planning permission.
- 5.5.5 To conclude, it is considered that due to the site being located on the edge of the settlement boundary close to community facilities, shops, schools and public transport links, it is considered to be in a sustainable location for residential development. With regard to the applicants suggestion that the Local Plan is out of date, it is considered that the Local Plan strategy is not patently inconsistent with either the RSS or PPS3, and consequently considerable weight can be attributed to

the Local Plan and its policies. However, the governments draft NPPF should also be considered. This policy advises that there should be a presumption in favour of sustainable development such as the one proposed. The presumption makes clear that where plans are not in place or up-to-date, development should be allowed unless this would compromise the key principles for sustainability in the Framework. In terms of the indicative housing allocation for the Langley Moor area of 550, it is not considered that the development of up to 70 dwellings on the application site would prejudice the long term aims of the emerging County Durham Plan. In addition, PPS3 advises that releasing sites prematurely should not be a reason to refuse planning permission. Officers therefore consider the principle of bringing this site for residential development is acceptable.

- 5.6 Affordable Housing
- 5.6.1 The provision of affordable housing where a need has been identified is encouraged through PPS3, and Policy 30 of the RSS requires a range of dwelling types and sizes, including affordable housing and alternative forms of tenure, to meet the needs of all sectors of the community.
- 5.6.2 The County Durham Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) report was completed in 2008 and supplies the evidence base for 30% affordable housing across the former Durham City area, while PPS3 (Para 29) makes plain the importance of the SHMA in setting targets. Where a developer puts forward an offer of less than 30%, a financial appraisal of the scheme must be undertaken to ensure that the maximum amount of affordable housing is achieved whilst ensuring the scheme remains viable.
- 5.6.3 The applicants consider that the inclusion of 30% affordable housing will make their scheme unviable. The Council's Estates Team has undertaken its own analysis of house prices in the Langley Moor area, and inputting this information into a development appraisal, is of the opinion that the scheme is able to bare a significant level of affordable housing, but agrees with the applicants financial appraisal, that 30% would make the scheme unviable. This stance has been conveyed to the applicant's agent, and following protracted negotiations an agreement has been reached. They have now agreed to provide 23% affordable housing as part of their scheme, 80% of this affordable housing would be in the form of social rent and 20% would be an intermediate product.
- 5.6.4 It is considered that the contribution this scheme will make toward meeting the housing needs of all sectors of the community and bringing a sustainable site forward should be afforded weight in the determination of this application.
- 5.7 Other community benefits
- 5.7.1 In addition to the provision of affordable housing on the site, the applicant has also agreed to make a financial contribution of £70,000 towards recreational facilities in the Langley Moor area along with a £40,000 contribution toward public art in accordance with the City of Durham Local Plan.
- 5.8 Highways issues
- 5.8.1 The Highways Officer has noted that there are concerns regarding additional traffic and its impact on the highway network, however it is concluded that the proposal is relatively modest in terms of the existing dwellings already served by Brandon Lane

and that the level of traffic flows which would be created by the proposal are not considered to be unacceptable. The Highways Officer has no objections to the proposed access subject to conditions relating to the junction radii and a footway link being provided to the bus stop to the east of the site. Therefore the proposals are considered to accord with Local Plan Policies T1 and T10.

6.0 CONCLUSION

- 6.1.1 In summary, the application site is adjacent to the settlement boundary it is in a sustainable location for residential development given its proximity to community facilities, shops, schools and public transport. It is also considered that the provision of affordable housing in close proximity to Durham City and financial contributions toward recreational facilities and public art are of community benefit. Overall it is considered that this proposal will help ensure the creation of sustainable communities, and development, which meets the housing needs of all sectors of the community. For these reasons, and taking into account the governments draft NPPF, it is considered that these benefits represent the material considerations to justify determining this application not in strict accordance with the development plan bringing a premature a premature site forward ahead of the 'new' Local Plan.
- 6.1.2 The development is thereby recommended for approval.

7.0 RECOMMENDATION

7.1.1 That the application be **Approved** subject to the following conditions;

1. Approval of the details of access, appearance, landscaping, layout and scale (hereinafter called "the reserved matters") shall be obtained from the local planning authority before the development is commenced.

Reason: Required to be imposed pursuant to Section 92 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

2. Application for approval of reserved matters shall be made to the Local planning authority before the expiration of three years beginning with the date of this permission and the development must be begun not later than the expiration of two years from the final approval of the reserved matters, or in the case of approval on different dates, the date of approval of the last of the reserved matters to be approved.

Reason: Required to be imposed pursuant to Section 92 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

3. The development hereby permitted shall not be commenced until:

a) A desk-top study is carried out to identify and evaluate all potential sources of contamination and the impacts on land and/or controlled waters, relevant to the site. The desk-top study shall establish a 'conceptual site model' and identify all plausible pollutant linkages. Furthermore, the assessment shall set objectives for intrusive site investigation works/Quantitative Risk Assessment. Two copies of the study shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority if identified as being required following the completion of the desk-top study.

b) The application site has been subjected to a detailed scheme for the investigation and recording of contamination, and remediation objectives have been determined through risk assessment, and agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority.

c) Detailed proposals for the removal, containment or otherwise rendering harmless of any contamination (the 'Reclamation Method Statement') have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

d) The works specified in the Reclamation Method Statement have been completed in accordance with the approved scheme.

e) If during reclamation or redevelopment works any contamination is identified that has not been considered in the Reclamation Method Statement, then remediation proposals for this material shall be agreed with the Local Planning Authority

Reason: To ensure that risks from land contamination to the future users of the land and neighbouring land are minimised, together with those to controlled waters, property and ecological systems, and to ensure that the development can be carried out safely without unacceptable risks to workers, neighbours and other offsite receptors in accordance with PPS 23 and policy U11 of the City of Durham Local Plan.

4. No development shall take place unless in accordance with the mitigation detailed within Sections D4 and D5 of the ecology report 'An extended phase 1 and protected species survey of land at Langley Moor, Co. Durham ' carried out by E3 Ecology Ltd, revision dated 10th June 2011

Reason: In the interests of preserving protected species in accordance with PPS9 and policy E16 of the City of Durham Local Plan.

5. Prior to submission of the reserved matters/full planning application the developer must undertake an agreed programme of archaeological works in accordance with a written scheme of investigation which has been submitted by the applicant and approved by the planning authority. A copy of any analysis, reporting, publication or archiving required as part of the mitigation strategy shall be deposited at the County Durham Historic Environment Record within 6 months of the date of completion of the scheme hereby approved by this permission. The strategy shall include details of the following:

a) the proper identification and evaluation of the extent, character and significance of archaeological remains within the application area in accordance with a brief issued by the County Durham Archaeology Section; the evaluation is to be undertaken following the approval of planning permission,

b) an assessment of the impact of the proposed development on any archaeological remains identified in the trial trench evaluation phase;

c) proposals for the preservation in situ, or for the investigation, recording and recovery of archaeological remains and the publishing of the findings, it being understood that there shall be a presumption in favour of their preservation in situ wherever feasible;

d) sufficient notification and allowance of time to archaeological contractors nominated by the developer to ensure that archaeological fieldwork as proposed in pursuance of (a) and (c) above is completed prior to the commencement of permitted development in the area of archaeological interest; and e) notification in writing to the County Durham and Darlington County Archaeologist of the commencement of archaeological works and the opportunity to monitor such works.

Reason: In the interests of the historic environment and to comply with PPS 5, RSS policy 32 and policy E24 of the City of Durham Local Plan.

6. Prior to the commencement of development, full engineering details of a connecting footway link on the northern side of Brandon Lane, connecting with the eastbound bus stop to the east of the site, shall be submitted to and agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The agreed footway shall be constructed and made available for use before the occupation of any dwellings.

Reason: In the interests of highway safety and to comply with policy T1 of the City of Durham Local Plan.

7. Notwithstanding the submitted access details, the junction entrance radii with Brandon Lane must be 10 metres.

Reason: In the interests of highway safety and to comply with policy T1 of the City of Durham Local Plan.

8.0 REASONS FOR THE RECOMMENDATION

8.1.1 The proposed development has been assessed against the following policies:

National Policy – Draft NPPF, PPS1, PPS3, PPS7, PPS9, PPS16, PPS22, PPS23, PPS25

Regional Policy - 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 24, 28, 29, 30, 32, 33, 35, 38

Local Plan Policy - E7, E16, E24, H3, H5, H12, H13, R2, T1, T10, Q1, Policy Q2, Q4, Q5, Q8, U8a, U11

- 8.1.2 In summary, officers are of the opinion that on balance, the benefits of the proposals along with the sustainable location of the proposed development are sufficient to outweigh any policy concerns. The application site is adjacent to the settlement boundary and is in a sustainable location for residential development given its proximity to community facilities, shops, schools and public transport. It is also considered that the provision of affordable housing in close proximity to Durham City and financial contributions toward recreational facilities and public art are of community benefit. It is considered that this proposal will help ensure the creation of sustainable communities, and development, which meets the housing needs of all sectors of the community.
- 8.1.3 The governments emerging draft NPPF also supports the proposals. This framework advises that there should be a presumption in favour of sustainable development such as the one proposed. The presumption makes clear that where plans are not in place or up-to-date, development should be allowed unless this would compromise the key principles for sustainability in the Framework. In terms of the indicative housing allocation for the Langley Moor area of 550, it is not considered that the development of up to 70 dwellings on the application site would prejudice the long term aims of the emerging County Durham Plan. In addition, PPS3 advises that

releasing sites prematurely should not be a reason to refuse planning permission. Officers therefore consider the principle of bringing this site for residential development is acceptable.

9.0 BACKGROUND PAPERS

- Submitted Application Forms and Plans.
- Design and Access Statement
- North East of England Plan Regional Spatial Strategy to 2021 (RSS) July 2008
- City of Durham Local Plan May 2004
- Planning Policy Statements / Guidance
- Draft National Planning Policy Framework
- Consultation Responses

Durham County Council			
This map is based upon Ordnance Survey material with the permission o Ordnance Survey on behalf of Her majesty's Stationary Office © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceeding.	Comments		
Durham County Council Licence No. 100022202 2005	Date 10 Janu	ary 2012	Scale